

Gauge Field Model With Massive Gauge Bosons

Ning Wu

Division 1, Institute of High Energy Physics, Box 918-1, Beijing 100039, P.R.China

February 1, 2008

PACS Numbers: 11.15-q, 11.10-z, 12.10-g, 14.70-e

Keywords: mass, gauge symmetry, gauge field, Higgs particle

[Abstract] A gauge field model, which simultaneously has strict local gauge symmetry and massive gauge bosons, is discussed in this paper. The model has $SU(N)$ gauge symmetry. In the limit $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, the gauge field model discussed in this paper will return to Yang-Mills gauge field model. The important meaning of this model is that, without Higgs mechanism, gauge bosons can also obtain masses. This theory can also explain some other unknown phenomenon.

Since its foundation in 1954 [1], the non-Abel gauge field theory has been widely applied to elementary particle theory. Now, it is generally believed that the principle of local gauge invariance should play a fundamental role in the particles' interaction theory. We know that, if the gauge symmetry in the Yang-Mills theory is strictly preserved, the mass of the gauge field should be zero. But the mass of intermediate bosons which transmit weak interaction is very large. In order to construct a $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ unified electroweak gauge theory, we must use the Higgs mechanism [2-4]. Up to now, almost every particle predicted by standard model was found by experiment except for Higgs particle. Does Higgs particle exist in nature? If there were no Higgs particle, how should the intermediate bosons $W^\pm, Z$ obtain mass?

The reason that we must introduce Higgs mechanism in the standard model is that, in the Yang-Mills theory, the mass of gauge bosons is zero. If we apply the Yang-Mills theory to the electroweak interactions, the mass of intermediate bosons is zero, which is contradict with experiment results. If the Yang-Mills theory is the only formalism of a

gauge invariant theory, then, the introduction of the Higgs mechanism in the standard model can not be avoided and the introduction of Higgs particle can not be avoided as well. If, according to the principle of gauge invariance, we could construct some other gauge field models, then, the introduction of the Higgs mechanism in the standard model may be avoided and the introduction of Higgs particle may be avoided too. According to the principle of gauge invariance, we will construct a gauge field model in this paper, in which the local gauge symmetry is strictly preserved and the mass of gauge bosons is non-zero. In other words, without using Higgs particle, we could construct a gauge field model which have massive gauge bosons. This is the most important characteristics of the model and the most important difference between the Yang-Mills gauge field model and the model discussed in this paper. Applying the formalism given in this paper, we could construct fundamental particle theory to describe strong or electro-weak interactions [5-6]. When we use the gauge field model given in this paper to construct electroweak model, we will find that the introduction of the Higgs mechanism can be avoided and the introduction of Higgs particle can be avoided too. In other words, we could construct an electro-weak model which keeps most of the interaction properties of the standard model but has no Higgs particle. Besides, this new theory could explain some other unknown phenomena too [6]. This means that Higgs particle may not exist in nature and physicists needn't spend so much effort and money in searching for it.

For the sake of generality, let the gauge group be $SU(N)$ group. Suppose that N fermion fields $\psi_l(x)$ ($l = 1, 2, \dots, N$) form a multiplet of matter fields. Denote:

$$\psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(x) \\ \psi_2(x) \\ \vdots \\ \psi_N(x) \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

All $\psi(x)$ form a representative space of $SU(N)$ group. In this space, we denote the representative matrices of the generators of $SU(N)$ group by T_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, N^2 - 1$). They satisfy

$$[T_i, T_j] = if_{ijk}T_k \quad (2)$$

$$Tr(T_i T_j) = \delta_{ij}K. \quad (3)$$

The representative matrix of a general element of the $SU(N)$ group can be written as:

$$U = e^{-i\alpha^i T_i} \quad (4)$$

with α^i the real group parameters. U is a unitary $N \times N$ gauge transformation matrix

$$U^\dagger U = 1 = U U^\dagger. \quad (5)$$

We will introduce two sets of gauge fields denoted by $A_\mu(x)$ and $B_\mu(x)$. they can be expressed as:

$$A_\mu(x) = A_\mu^i(x)T_i \quad (6)$$

$$B_\mu(x) = B_\mu^i(x)T_i, \quad (7)$$

where summation convention of repeated index is used. Correspondingly, we introduce two gauge covariant derivatives

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu - igA_\mu \quad (8)$$

$$D_{b\mu} = \partial_\mu + i\alpha g B_\mu \quad (9)$$

The strengthes of two gauge fields are respectively defined as:

$$A_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{-ig}[D_\mu, D_\nu] = A_{\mu\nu}^i T_i \quad (10)$$

$$B_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{i\alpha g}[D_{b\mu}, D_{b\nu}] = B_{\mu\nu}^i T_i \quad (11)$$

where

$$A_{\mu\nu}^i = \partial_\mu A_\nu^i - \partial_\nu A_\mu^i + gf^{ijk} A_\mu^j A_\nu^k \quad (12)$$

$$B_{\mu\nu}^i = \partial_\mu B_\nu^i - \partial_\nu B_\mu^i - \alpha gf^{ijk} B_\mu^j B_\nu^k \quad (13)$$

The lagrangian of the model is :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & -\bar{\psi}(\gamma^\mu D_\mu + m)\psi - \frac{1}{4K}Tr(A^{\mu\nu}A_{\mu\nu}) - \frac{1}{4K}Tr(B^{\mu\nu}B_{\mu\nu}) \\ & - \frac{\mu^2}{2K(1+\alpha^2)}Tr[(A^\mu + \alpha B^\mu)(A_\mu + \alpha B_\mu)] \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

with α a non-negative real constant. In this paper, the apace time metric is selected as $\eta_{\mu\nu} = diag(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ ($\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$)

It is easy to prove that the above lagrangian is invariant under the following local gauge transformations:

$$\psi \longrightarrow U\psi \quad (15)$$

$$A_\mu \longrightarrow UA_\mu U^\dagger - \frac{1}{ig}U\partial_\mu U^\dagger \quad (16)$$

$$B_\mu \longrightarrow UB_\mu U^\dagger + \frac{1}{i\alpha g}U\partial_\mu U^\dagger. \quad (17)$$

So, The model has local gauge symmetry.

The gauge field A_μ and B_μ are not eigenvectors of mass matrix. In order to construct the eigenvectors of mass matrix, let's define the following transformations:

$$C_\mu = \cos\theta A_\mu + \sin\theta B_\mu \quad (18)$$

$$F_\mu = -\sin\theta A_\mu + \cos\theta B_\mu, \quad (19)$$

where

$$\cos\theta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^2}}, \quad \sin\theta = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^2}} \quad (20)$$

Then, the lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ given by eq.(14) changes into:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_I, \quad (21)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = -\bar{\psi}(\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + m)\psi - \frac{1}{4}C_0^{i\mu\nu}C_{0\mu\nu}^i - \frac{1}{4}F_0^{i\mu\nu}F_{0\mu\nu}^i - \frac{\mu^2}{2}C^{i\mu}C_\mu^i. \quad (22)$$

$\mathcal{L}_I$ is interaction lagrangian which only contains interaction terms. In eq.(22), we have used the following notes:

$$C_{0\mu\nu}^i = \partial_\mu C_\nu^i - \partial_\nu C_\mu^i \quad (23)$$

$$F_{0\mu\nu}^i = \partial_\mu F_\nu^i - \partial_\nu F_\mu^i \quad (24)$$

From eq.(22), we know that the mass of gauge field C_μ is μ , and the mass of gauge field F_μ is zero. So, there exist a massive gauge field and a massless gauge field simultaneously in the model.

If α is small enough, that is

$$\alpha \ll 1, \quad (25)$$

then, in the leading term,

$$\cos\alpha \approx 1, \quad \sin\alpha \approx 0, \quad (26)$$

$$A_\mu \approx C_\mu, \quad B_\mu \approx F_\mu. \quad (27)$$

In this case, the lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ changes into:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} \approx & -\bar{\psi}[\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu - igC_\mu) + m]\psi - \frac{1}{4}F_0^{i\mu\nu}F_{0\mu\nu}^i \\ & - \frac{1}{4}C^{i\mu\nu}C_{\mu\nu}^i - \frac{\mu^2}{2}C^{i\mu}C_\mu^i, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where

$$C_{\mu\nu}^i = \partial_\mu C_\nu^i - \partial_\nu C_\mu^i + gf^{ijk}C_\mu^j C_\nu^k. \quad (29)$$

Now, we see that, except for a gauge field mass term and a dynamically term of F_μ^i which doesn't interact with matter field ψ in the leading term, this lagrangian is the same as that of Yang-Mills gauge theory. So, we could anticipate that these two gauge theories will give similar dynamical behavior in describing particles' interaction.

If we apply this model to QCD [5], we will obtain two sets of gluons, one set is massive gluons, another is massless gluons. Because $SU(3)_c$ symmetry is a strict symmetry, these gluons are confinement. The important thing is that there may exists three sets of glueballs which may have different masses but have the same spin-parity. If we apply this model to electro-weak interactions [6], we will get two sets of gauge bosons that transmit electro-weak interactions, one set is massive that has been found by experiment, another is massless that is not found by experiment. The existence of these massless gauge bosons could be used to explain some unknown phenomenon [5-6]. If α is very small, the coupling between these massless gauge bosons and leptons or quarks will be very weak. Therefore the model discussed in this paper does not contradict with the present experiment.

Finally, we should say that, without Higgs mechanism, we could also construct a electro-weak model in which the mass of intermediate gauge bosons is non-zero. And at a appropriate limit, the model will go back to the standard model [6].

Reference:

- [1] C.N.Yang, R.L.Mills, Phys Rev **96** (1954) 191
- [2] S.Glashow, Nucl Phys **22**(1961) 579
- [3] S.Weinberg, Phys Rev Lett **19** (1967) 1264
- [4] A.Salam, in Elementary Particle Theory, eds.N.Svartholm(Almquist and Forlag, Stockholm,1968)
- [5] Ning Wu, A new QCD model (in preparation)
- [6] Ning Wu, A new unified electro-weak model (in preparation)